

Minutes of a Meeting of the Planning Committee held online commencing at 7.00pm on 18 August 2020

Present: Cllrs Brown (Chair), Dean, Francis, Greenwood and Toher

In Attendance: Mr D Wheal (Clerk to Bishopstoke Parish Council)

Public Attendance: 0 members of the public were present

PLAN_2021_M03/

Public Session

21 Apologies for Absence

21.1 All Councillors were present at the meeting.

22 To adopt as a true record, and sign, the Minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 4 August 2020

22.1 The Minutes of the above meeting had been circulated prior to the meeting.

22.2 Cllr Toher noted that minute 11.1 read “Cllrs” when it should read “Cllr”.

22.3 Proposed Cllr Toher, Seconded Cllr Greenwood, **RESOLVED** that the minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 4 August 2020, as amended in 22.2, be adopted as a true record.

23 To consider Matters Arising from the above Minutes not covered elsewhere on the agenda

23.1 There were no matters arising.

24 Declarations of Interest and Requests for Dispensations

24.1 Cllr Greenwood declared an interest in application F/20/87971 as a near neighbour.

25 Consideration of Planning Applications

25.1 NC/20/88273 – 16 Church Road – Group of 4 Oak trees - Crown reduce by 3 metres – The Committee noted that this application had been withdrawn.

25.2 NC/20/88343 – Riverside Court, 75 Bishopstoke Road – Notification of intent, 1 Sycamore, Fell; 1 Cedar, Fell – The Committee agreed to raise no objection to the application but to ask whether the trees could be managed rather and felled, and if not then there should be the same or more replacement trees as are being felled.

25.3 F/20/87971 – 258 Fair Oak Road – Erection of attached two-storey bedroom dwelling – The Committee agreed to object to this application. Grounds for objection were that there is already a parking problem in the area and so adding two more bedrooms would do nothing but make the problem worse. This is particularly concerning as the parking has been so crowded that a Traffic Regulation Order was necessary to protect local green spaces from the excess vehicles. It is also a bus route and the bus often has difficulty in passing at the moment. More cars would equal more problems.

The additional dwelling would not be in keeping with the street scene and would change a row of semi-detached houses into some semi-detached houses and a mini terrace. The Committee also considered this to be overdevelopment.

25.4 T/20/88270 – 15 Bishops Court – Multiple Ash, Sycamore & Hawthorn (G1) - Reduction of overhang by 2-3 metres and back to boundary where applicable – The Committee agreed to raise no objection to this application, but wished to comment that they were concerned the work would leave the trees lopsided and request that the tree officer monitor to ensure the health and aesthetic value of the trees is preserved.

26 Report on recent planning decisions

26.1 The report on recent planning decisions had been circulated with the supporting documents and was noted by the Committee. It is included in the minutes as Appendix A. The Committee noted that the inclusion of the report with the supporting documents for the meeting was a useful change.

27 To decide whether to appoint a representative to speak on behalf of the Parish Council regarding the Airport expansion at the relevant Eastleigh LAC meeting

27.1 The Committee had received an offer from Cllr Harris, as the Council's representative on the Airport Consultative Committee, to attend on behalf of the Committee if desired. The Committee thanked Cllr Harris for his offer. The Committee requested that the Clerk produce a document detailing the Committee's position with regard to the Airport expansion. The Committee will approve that document at the next planning meeting. The Committee also wished to accept Cllr Harris' offer. Cllr Harris will be provided with the Committee's position document prior to the relevant Eastleigh Local Area Committee meeting.

Action: Clerk

28 To consider amending the Planning Committee terms of reference to include responsibility for responding to Traffic Regulation Orders

28.1 The proposed amendment and reasons for doing so had been circulated prior to the meeting.

28.2 Proposed Cllr Toher, Seconded Cllr Greenwood, **RECOMMENDED** unanimously that the Planning Committee terms of reference be amended to include responsibility for making decisions on the Parish Council response to Traffic Regulation Orders in Bishopstoke.

29 Clerk's Report

29.1 The Clerk's report had been circulated with the supporting documents and was noted by the Committee. It is included in the minutes as Appendix B.

30 Date, time, place and agenda items for next meeting

30.1 It was agreed that the next meeting would take place online at 7:00pm on Tuesday 8th September.

30.2 Any agenda items for the meeting should be submitted in writing to the Clerk by Monday 31st August 2020.

31 Motion for Confidential Business

31.1 Proposed Cllr Brown, Seconded Cllr Toher, **RESOLVED** unanimously that in view of the confidential nature of the business about to be discussed relating to possible breaches of planning regulation it is advisable in the public interest that the public be excluded and for the record the business be regarded as confidential.

32 Reported Breaches of Development Control (Confidential Business)

32.1 The report on alleged breaches of development control had been included with the supporting documents for Councillors. It was noted by the Committee.

32.2 Cllrs reported one additional item of confidential business.

There being no further business, the Chair closed the meeting at 7.40pm

DRAFT

Chair's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Clerk's Signature: _____ Date: _____



Planning Planning Decisions report 18 August 2020

Recent Planning Decisions

H/20/87310 – 7 Oakgrove Road – Single storey rear extension including flue for log burner following demolition of existing garage, front porch, installation of flue and alterations to fenestration.

Planning Committee Decision: Raise No Objection

Borough Council Decision: Permit

H/20/87302 – 43 Oakgrove Road – Single storey rear extension following demolition of existing conservatory and detached garage and alterations to fenestration.

Planning Committee Decision: Raise No Objection

Borough Council Decision: Permit

NC/20/87992 – Manor Cottage, Church Road – Notification of intent. 2 no. Holm Oak (T1 & T2) - reduce height by 2 metres and lateral branches by 2 metres.

Planning Committee Decision: Raise No Objection

Borough Council Decision: Raise No Objection

T/20/88088 – Land to the rear of 6 Burrow Hill Place – 1 no. Oak (T1) - Crown reduce by 3 metres.

Planning Committee Decision: Raise No Objection

Borough Council Decision: Consent

T/20/88089 – Land to the rear of 7 Burrow Hill Place – 1 no. Oak (T1) - Crown reduce by 3 metres.

Planning Committee Decision: Raise No Objection

Borough Council Decision: Consent



**Planning
Clerk's report
18 August 2020**

Clerk's Report

Matters Arising:

From the meeting on 4 August 2020, minute 15.5:

“The Committee agreed to repeat their previous objection to this application and in addition to object further as the modifications make the plot even more crowded than had been the case. The Committee wished to ask whether this application was in effect a retrospective application resulting from the original plans not being adhered to.”

I emailed the planning officer responsible for the application on 10 August. The officer is away from the office until Monday 24 August and so we will not have a response until that week at the earliest.

From the meeting on 4 August 2020, minute 17.2:

The Clerk was asked to forward the complaint regarding Church Road traffic to the local Borough Councillors, the Local Area Manager, the Head of Housing and Hampshire Highways.

I have now sent an email including the complaint to those requested. I have also contacted the resident to let them know what is happening with their complaint.

Other Matters:

There are no other planning matters to report