

BISHOPSTOKE PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of a Meeting of the Planning Committee held in the Methodist Church Hall, Sedgwick Road, Bishopstoke at 7.00pm on Tuesday 23 July 2013

- Present:** Cllr Brown (Acting Chairman), Cllrs Harris, McKeone and Toher
- In attendance:** Mr P J Storey (Clerk to Bishopstoke Parish Council)
- Public Session:** 118 members of the public were present to voice their concerns at the outline application by Cemex to develop their site off Breach Lane.

93. Apologies

Cllr Snook.

94. Minutes of the Planning Committee Meeting held on 9 July 2013

Proposed Cllr Brown, Seconded Cllr Toher, **RESOLVED** that the minutes of the meeting held on 9 July 2013 be accepted as a true record.

95. Matters arising from the above Minutes

Para 89 – larger home extensions. The Clerk confirmed applications for larger home extensions under the revised permitted development rules would not be passed to the Parish Council for comment; only the immediate neighbours would be consulted by EBC.

96. Declarations of Interests and Requests for Dispensations

None declared.

97. Consideration of Planning Applications

- O/13/72892 – land West of Church Road/North of Breach Lane – outline planning for residential development, allotments and orchard, open space, car parking and vehicular access (layout, scale, appearance and landscaping reserved for future approval). Objection. The Committee supported the objections raised by members of the public which included major concern at the irreplaceable loss of valuable countryside around Bishopstoke, the impact on flora and fauna, the risk to animals and insects reliant on green space, the impact of the 430 new dwellings called for in the Borough Local Plan over the next 18 years and the fact that with The Mount development of 200 plus dwellings and a further 55 dwellings proposed at Stoke Park Farm the Village would have absorbed 80% of this overall requirement within two or three years. Further concerns expressed included poor supporting infrastructure, in particular, roads and sewage, lack of school places and overstretched local medical facilities and the apparent wrong conclusion reached in the noise survey. Public participants argued strongly for a common sense approach to Bishopstoke's development emphasising their belief that the impact on the local infrastructure of The Mount development, the recent development approved by Winchester City Council to the north of Bishopstoke Lane and the impact of Fair Oak and Horton Heath developments on local roads and other supporting infrastructure, should be fully assessed before any further development took place in Bishopstoke. It was also pointed out that the application appeared to contravene comments made in the EBC Local Plan 2011 – 2029 which protected The Paddock as agricultural land and grazing, that a survey in January 2013 showed the infant school to be full for the time being and of some concern that an EBC pre-application advice letter dated 18 March 2013 stated that a policy objection to the application would not be raised.
- F/13/72793 – 135 Underwood Road – one and two storey side and single storey front extension – concern at size and impact on adjoining houses and effect on drainage.

- C/13/72798 – Flat 17 Itchen Grange – installation of four roof lights – object as being out of keeping with buildings in the conservation area and lack of information on purpose
- F/13/72788 – 175 Fair Oak Road – log cabin at rear – no objection

98. Report on Recent Planning Decisions

- F/13/72610 – 22 Whalesmead Close – single storey rear extension, roof alteration to include rear dormer to provide additional first floor accommodation and replacement detached store building - no objection. Permit.
- F/13/72599 – 34 Scotter Road – first floor rear extension – no objection. Permit.
- F/13/72626 – 33 Longmead Avenue – single storey rear extension – no objection. Permit.

99. Clerk's Report

Nothing to report.

100. Date, time and place of next meeting

Tuesday 6 August 2013 at 7.00pm in the Methodist Church Hall, Sedgwick Road, Bishopstoke.

101. Motion for Confidential Business

Not moved.

102. Reported Breaches of Development Control (Confidential Business)

None reported.

There being no further business, the Chairman closed the meeting at 7.50pm