

**Minutes of a Meeting of the Planning Committee
held online commencing at 7.00pm on 20 April 2021**

Present: Cllrs Brown (Chair), Dean, Mignot, and Toher

In Attendance: Mr D Wheal (Clerk to Bishopstoke Parish Council)

Public Attendance: 1 member of the public was present.

PLAN_2122_M01/

Public Session

1 Apologies for Absence

1.1 Apologies had been received from Cllrs Francis, Greenwood and Moore.

2 To adopt as a true record, and sign, the Minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 23 March 2021

2.1 The Minutes of the above meeting had been circulated prior to the meeting.

2.2 Proposed Cllr Mignot, Seconded Cllr Toher, **RESOLVED** that the minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 23 March 2021 be adopted as a true record.

3 To consider Matters Arising from the above Minutes not covered elsewhere on the agenda

3.1 There were no matters arising.

4 Declarations of Interest and Requests for Dispensations

4.1 Cllr Toher declared an interest in an item of confidential business.

5 Consideration of Planning Applications

5.1 T/21/90024 – Orchard Gardens Care Home, 1 Garnier Drive – 1 no. Monterey Pine - Crown reduce height and spread of southern crown of tree by 2 metres from central points – The Committee agreed that, provided the proposed works would not be to the detriment of the tree and the surrounding environment they would raise no objection. The Clerk was asked to convey to the Borough Tree Officer that if the proposed work was likely to still cause harm then this would be objected to.

Action: Clerk

5.2 H/21/89639 – 17 Wooderson Close – Proposed single storey side extension following demolition of existing garage – The Committee agree to raise no objection to the application.

5.3 T/21/90091 – 92 Spring Lane – 1 no. Holm oak (Tag 869) - Reduce back lateral branch by 3m over roadside at 8m above ground level. Crown Lift to 6 metres over public carriageway. Clear lamps by 1.0 metres and clear the lit area between lamps – The Committee agreed to raise no objection to the application.

5.4 H/21/89996 – 72 Stoke Common Road – Construction of detached double garage – The Committee were concerned that there had already been a retrospective application for a detached garage in the previous year which was not shown on the plans. The Committee agreed to defer a decision on whether to object until the next planning meeting and to ask the Clerk to gather more information before then.

Action: Clerk

5.5 No further applications had arrived following the publication of this agenda.

6 Report on recent planning decisions

6.1 The report on recent planning decisions had been circulated with the supporting documents and was noted by the Committee. It is included in the minutes as Appendix A.

7 To receive the Clerk's Report

7.1 The Clerk's report had been circulated with the supporting documents and was noted by the Committee. It is included in the minutes as Appendix B.

7.2 The Clerk also noted that that the Airport expansion had been rejected by the Local Area Committee and then considered by the full Borough Council. Cllrs noted that the application had been approved by the Borough but had subsequently been called in by the Secretary of State.

8 Date, time, place and agenda items for next meeting

8.1 The Clerk noted that the next Committee meeting would consider 11 planning applications and a decision on how to treat applications that arrive between Committees and therefore recommended that the next meeting commence at 6:30pm.

8.2 It was agreed that the next scheduled meeting on Tuesday 27th April would start at 6:30pm. Any agenda items for the meeting should be submitted in writing to the Clerk at least 8 days prior to the meeting.

9 Motion for Confidential Business

9.1 Proposed Cllr Brown, Seconded Cllr Toher, **RESOLVED** unanimously that in view of the confidential nature of the business about to be discussed relating to possible breaches of planning regulation it is advisable in the public interest that the public be excluded and for the record the business be regarded as confidential.

10 Reported Breaches of Development Control (Confidential business)

10.1 The report on alleged breaches of development control had been included with the supporting documents for Councillors. It was noted by the Committee.

10.2 Cllr Toher updated the Committee on one of the alleged breaches.

10.3 The Clerk also informed the Committee of a serious breach not within the Parish that had been dealt with by the Borough Council.

There being no further business, the Chair closed the meeting at 7:29pm

Chair's Signature: _____

Clerk's Signature: _____ Date: _____



**Planning
Planning Decisions report
20 April 2021**

Recent Planning Decisions

There have been no planning decisions reported within Bishopstoke since the last Planning Committee meeting.



Planning Clerk's report 20 April 2021

Clerk's Report

Recommendations:

PLAN_2021_M15/139.3

On 23rd March the Full Council resolved to accept the Borough Council proposal to begin the pre-application process for the Bishopstoke Memorial Hall, as recommended. See Minute FULL_2021_M07/119.3

Matters Arising:

PLAN_2021_M16/153.2

Regarding a concluded planning regulation breach
As requested, on 25th March the Clerk sought additional information regarding a concluded planning regulation breach. The Borough have confirmed that the breach was not on land that is controlled by the Parish, or part of the Brookfield transfer.

Other Matters:

Airport Expansion

The Parish Council statement on the Airport Expansion was read out at the Eastleigh Local Area Committee meeting on 26th March. The Local Area Committee voted to not support the recommendation of the Head of Housing Development on the application from Southampton Airport to extend its runway. A majority of Eastleigh Local Area Committee Councillors voted five to three against the recommendation, and in line with Council procedure when applications have a significant borough wide impact, the planning application will now be referred to Full Council to determine.

The Eastleigh Borough Council meeting will take place on 8 April. Councillors involved have been requested to review all the statements made at the LAC meeting. In light of this the Parish Council is not recommended to request to repeat their statement to the Council.