

BISHOPSTOKE PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of a Meeting of the Planning Committee held in the Parish Office, Riverside, Bishopstoke commencing at 7.00pm on 9 April 2019

Present: Cllrs Brown (Chair), Dean, Greenwood, Harris and Toher

In Attendance: Mr D Wheal (Clerk to Bishopstoke Parish Council)

Public Attendance: 0 members of the public were present

PLAN_1920_M01/

1 Apologies for Absence

1.1 Apologies had been received and were accepted from Cllrs Francis and Mignot.

2 To adopt as a true record, and sign, the Minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 26 March 2019

2.1 The Minutes of the above meeting had been circulated prior to the meeting.

2.2 Proposed Cllr Toher, Seconded Cllr Harris, **RESOLVED** that the minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 26 March 2019 be accepted as a true record.

3 To consider Matters Arising from the above Minutes

3.1 There were no matters arising from the above minutes.

4 Declarations of Interest and Requests for Dispensations

4.1 There were no declarations of interest or requests for dispensation.

5 Consideration of Planning Applications

5.1 H/19/85203 – 63 Fair Oak Road – Two and single storey side extensions and existing detached garage incorporated to form integral garage. It was noted at the meeting that, although the application number and description were correct, the address was not and should have been 68 Church Road. The Committee agreed that as they were acting as consultees without any decision-making power, they would continue to consider the application – The Committee considered this to be overdevelopment and not in keeping with the street scene of the surrounding area and therefore to object on those grounds.

5.2 X/19/85180 – Land to the rear of 58-60 Stoke Common Road – Variation of Condition 1 of planning permission F/18/84120 (amendment to garden and site width) for the construction of 4 no. three bedroom semi-detached dwellings with associated amenity space and off-road parking accessed from Wilmot Close, following demolition of existing garages, to allow the substitution of layout and house type plans – The Committee noted that the original application did not come before the Parish Council Planning Committee due to errors by the Borough Council and so they had not had an opportunity to consider the whole plan on its merits. As condition 1 states it is to ensure everything is done “in the interests of proper planning”, the Committee wondered how that could be varied. The Committee were also concerned about the landlocked nature of the gardens to the new dwellings, and there being no viable access to the remaining strip of garden from the rear of 58 and 60 Stoke Common Road. The Committee wished to object on all those grounds.

Initial: _____ Date: _____

5.3 NC/19/85132 – 16 Church Road – Notification of proposed works to trees in conservation areas – The Committee noted that the application form incorrectly stated that the work was for a TPO tree and was NOT in the conservation area. Cllr Harris had asked the Planning Officer about this and had been told that the Officer had corrected the wording for the application type but left the form as it was. The Committee agreed that as the tree was healthy and not in danger of causing damage to any property there was no good reason to fell it. The Committee also wished to request a TPO be placed upon the tree to give it further protection.

6 Report on recent planning decisions

6.1 H/19/84923 – 1 Itchen Avenue – Conversion of garage to habitable accommodation, single storey rear extension, replace existing flat roof to garage with new pitched roof with 2no. roof lights – The Planning Committee agreed to Raise No Objection to this application, but wished to comment on possible loss of parking – The Borough Council permitted this application.

6.2 H/19/84849 – 77 Church Road – Single storey side and rear extensions – The Planning Committee agreed to Raise No Objection to the application, but wished to request that the same conditions which were applied the previous time this application was brought forward should be applied this time – The Borough Council permitted this application.

7 Clerk's Report

7.1 The Clerk noted that there had been one further notification that arrived in time for the meeting, but that as this was the late notification of an application that had been considered at the 26th February meeting there was no need to consider it.

7.2 The Clerk reported that the Borough enforcement team currently has a caseload of 170. There have been 16 new cases received and 23 cases closed since the last Bishopstoke Parish Council Planning Committee meeting. 10 are considered priority 1, 76 priority 2, 79 priority 3 and 5 are priority 4. The enforcement specialists are dealing with 89 cases, and the local response team have 81. Cllr Toher asked whether the figures were Borough-wide or just Bishopstoke. The Clerk indicated they were Borough-wide but that he was hoping to be able to provide Bishopstoke only figures at some point.

8 Date, time, place and agenda items for next meeting

8.1 The next meeting will be on Tuesday 23rd April 2019, at 7:00pm. The doors will be open at 6:45pm for viewing of applications.

8.2 Any agenda items should be submitted in writing to the Clerk by Monday 15th April 2019.

9 Motion for Confidential Business

9.1 Proposed Cllr Brown, Seconded Cllr Toher, **RESOLVED** unanimously that in view of the confidential nature of the business about to be discussed relating to possible breaches of planning regulation it is advisable in the public interest that the public be excluded and for the record the business be regarded as confidential.

10 Reported Breaches of Development Control (Confidential Business)

10.1 The Clerk reported one alleged breach of Development Control.

10.2 The Clerk reported one concluded breach of Development Control.

10.3 Cllrs reported one additional item of confidential business

There being no further business, the Chair closed the meeting at 7.28pm

Chair's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Clerk's Signature: _____ Date: _____